

**DRAFT**

**Bay Area IRWMP Coordinating Committee**

Agreements and Action Items from September 25, 2008 Meeting

**1. Roll Call—Appointed FA representatives present**

<b>WS-WQ</b>	<b>WW-RW</b>	<b>FP-SW</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Other</b>
Brad Sherwood, SCWA; Andrea Flores, CCWD	Paula Kehoe, SFPUC; Brian Campbell, EBMUD	Mitch Avalon, CCCFCFCD; Brian Mendenhall, SCVWD; Mark Boucher, CCCFCFCD	Harry Seraydarian, NBWA; Melanie Denninger, SCC; Jennifer Krebs, SFEP	Paul Helliker, Chair, Marin Municipal Water District; and Nadine Hitchcock, Vice- Chair, State Coastal Conservancy)

**Others present:**

Steve Goldbeck, BCDC  
Jim Brezack, ICF Jones and Stokes  
Liza Crosse, Tomales Bay Watershed Council  
Dale Hopkins, SF Bay RWQCB  
Rick Thomasser, Napa  
Neil Conatser, Marin County FC&WCD  
Thomasin Grim (MMWD)  
Carl Morrison (Morrison Assoc/SCWA, Z7, SCC)  
Ann Riley, SF Regional WQCB  
David Richardson (RMC/CC)  
Peter Vorster (TBI)  
Renee Webber (SCWA & North Bay Water Reuse Authority--NBWRA)  
Amy Hutzel, State Coastal Conservancy (SCC)

**2. Review agreements/action items from 8/25/08 meeting**

- a. Per a 8/25 Action Item, Dave Richardson will prepare an update of the Coordinating Committee's Decision Process reflecting the principles discussed at the 8/25/08 CC meeting. That document has been prepared and will be attached to the Agenda packet for the 10/27/08 meeting. The intent of the CC is that its members will review the document, discuss it within their functional areas (FAs), and bring their comments back to modify and/or endorse the proposed process.

**3. State Budget and Legislative Issues**

Paul led the discussion. The highlights of the discussion are as follows:

- CC is interested in Proposition 1E. What is the substance of the proposition, how is it being implemented, how is the funding coming, are the guidelines for Prop 84 and 1E going to come out together? CC agreed to place this item on the next CC agenda.
- DWR staff should be invited to the next CC meeting.
- Carl Morrison discussed the Roundtable of Regions conference call, which included input from DWR staff about possible early rounds of Prop 84 funding in the event SB 1xx were

to pass. Key outcomes from the call were that the group received the impression from DWR that early rounds of funding will preserve competition, but there will be minimum and maximum allocations of funding for regions to keep the players engaged. The roundtable will be getting back together in the near future. A “summit planning group” for the Roundtable may be meeting soon (after the appropriation bill—now called SB 2X 1—passes); Carl Morrison will track that activity for the CC.

- Carl reported that thru discussions with Kamyar Guivetchi of DWR that the Department will have a staff member focused on IRWMP and that person will be designated soon.
- The group discussed water conservation and specifically graywater. The conversation touched on graywater potentially being addressed in the IRWM Plan as a “project” or program”. Could be regional; it would need to be evaluated along with other regional programs as to how it meets regional goals and objectives. It is probably at a “project development” stage, and may be ready for implementation within the IRWM timeline. This subject came up in the morning session of BAWF. BAWF is meeting on 11/24/08 and will be addressing graywater on that agenda. Dick Bennett of EBMUD may be a speaker, Gary Wolff of the State Board is interested in the topic, and may be a speaker. BAWF is looking for a DPH or County Health rep as a speaker.
- Relative to mailing lists, Mark Boucher clarified that overlaps between the CC and BAWF lists are currently less likely as they are managed separately even though they were the same originally. He suggested that there could be a BAWF lead (perhaps Mary Selkirk) who would send their participants the link on the IRWMP website informing them if they do not receive IRWMP emails and wish to, they may sign up at the website (<http://lists.bairwmp.org/mailman/listinfo/updates>). Also, we could also find out how people get on the BAWF list and have the IRWMP lead send out that information. This would be done on a regular basis so that those who want to be on both lists can do so easily.

**Action Items:** Paul Helliker will place Prop 1E item on next CC agenda. Paul Helliker will invite Maria Pang, Tracie Billington, or other DWR rep to the next CC meeting to participate in the 1E and other related discussions.

#### 4. Updates

- a. Planning and Process Subcommittee (1<sup>st</sup> item) – The Annual Report for 2007 (documenting the activities and accomplishments of the CC) was discussed. The report will be finalized over the next month.
- b. PnP Subcommittee (2<sup>nd</sup> item) - The Region Acceptance Process is now being worked on by RMC, as part of Task 1A of their scope of work, which has been approved by the 4 parties. That work (identifying gaps in the current IRWMP relative to Region Acceptance based upon DWR draft guidance) will be completed by early November 2008.
- c. PnP Subcommittee (3<sup>rd</sup> item) – Regional and Subregional approach options were discussed by the team led by Brian Mendenhall. An 11x17 matrix with draft subregional options and draft criteria was distributed to the CC for discussion. Two criteria were added (no. 20 – Existing partnerships and institutional arrangements; and no. 21 – Takes advantage of Infrastructure) and two were deleted (no. 14 – works with IRWMP governance structure, and no. 16 – geographic split is recognized by other programs). The various options were discussed and there was general consensus that we should maintain the “no subregion option” and the “subregion by County” option, and we should have a “watershed” option. The final list of options carried forward are as follows:

**Option 1**

No Division. Use region as basis for total allocation.

**Option 2**

Divide region by 9 counties (sub-regions)

**Option 3**

Divide by 3 subreg.:

N: Marin-Sonoma-Napa-Solano

E: Contra Costa-Alameda

SW: SF-San Mateo-Santa Clara

**Option 4**

Divide by 4 subreg.:

1. Marin-Sonoma

2. Napa-Solano

3. Contra Costa-Alameda

4. SF-San Mateo-Santa Clara

**Option 5**

Divide by 4 subreg.:

N - Marin, Sonoma, Napa, Solano

E - Alameda, Contra Costa

S - Santa Clara

W - San Mateo, SF

**Option 6**

Divide by 5 subreg.:

NW - Marin, Sonoma,

NE - Napa, Solano

E - Alameda, Contra Costa

S - Santa Clara, Zone 7

W - San Mateo, SF

**Option 7**

Divide into subregions by watersheds – 5 groups of DAUs

The watershed option would use DWR's DAUs (detailed analysis units) to provide watershed delineations for which data are available on population and other demographic statistics.

Such data could then conceivably be used when preparing funding allocations such as was done in previous funding efforts by the TCC and CC when demographic equity was sought.

d. Website Committee – Per a 8/25 Action Item, Mark Boucher will be checking with the Webmaster on the feasibility of setting up individual accounts for project proponents to update project information on their projects on the IRWMP website.

e. 4-Party Agreement and consultant contract status – 3 tasks authorized.

f. Roundtable of Regions – see discussion in **3. State Budget and Legislative Issues**, above.

**5. Announcements – all covered in items above**

**6. Agenda Items and Details for the next IRWMP CC Meeting.**

- Prop 1E

- Regional and Subregional Approach Options
- Legislative Update, including passage of SB 2X 1.

The next meeting is scheduled for Monday, October 27, 2008, from 1:00 pm – 3:00 pm Room 12 of the Elihu Harris State Building. The CC will continue to meet from 1:00-3:00 on the 4<sup>th</sup> Monday of each month through November. The date and time for a December meeting have not been determined. BAWF did meet in September, and most future BAWF meetings, including November, will take place from 10:00-12:30 on the same dates as the CC and in the same room. We do not have confirmation of a BAWF meeting in October.